Interview Summary	10/634,443		SELLARS, NEIL G.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Sing P. Chan		1791	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Sing P. Chan.	(3) <u>Joseph Miller</u> .			
(2) <u>William J McNichol, Jr</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 26 November 2007.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>52</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	ı)⊡ was not r	eached. h)□ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: The examiner contacted the application in condition for allowance. Mr. McNichol and examiner and the examiner agrees the amendment would paragraph problems. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no conditionable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE.	Mr. McNichol ad Mr. Miller st read over the Iments which topy of the am d.) ACTION MUST last Office ac OF ONE MOT ERVIEW SUN	to discuss amenubmitted propose current prior arts the examiner agrendments that we remark that we remark the same and the	eed would render the substance of the su	o the other of the other of the claims of the claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ature, if required	

Application No.

Applicant(s)